REMARKS

The office action mailed April 12, 2007 has been carefully reviewed. In response thereto the applicant has taken the following steps.

First, the applicant is providing herewith a new abstract which is shorter and clearer than the abstract originally filed. It is believed that the new abstract should be acceptable. No new matter was included in the preparation of the abstract.

Second, the applicant noted with appreciation the following statement in the last office action:

"Claims 4 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims."

In view of the foregoing, the applicant has canceled claims 1-4 and claims 11-14. Original, but now cancelled claims 1, 2 and 4 have been combined into new independent claim 21. Dependent claims 5-10 have been amended to depend from new independent claim 21. Similarly, the substance of now cancelled claims 11, 12 and 14 have been combined into a new independent claim 22 and dependent claims 15-20 have been amended to dependent from new independent claim 22. It is believed that the claims as amended accurately define the applicant's invention including its unique and novel secondary weather and wind seal feature.

It is respectfully submitted that the application in this newly amended form is in condition for allowance. An early notification to that effect would be gratefully appreciated. If for any reason the application in its newly amended form is not deemed to be in condition for allowance, the examiner is respectfully requested to contact the applicant's new attorney at the telephone number indicated below so that additional amendments may be entered as required.

Respectfully submitted

David Christensen

/Richard C. Woodbridge/

By   Richard C. Woodbridge
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 26,423

Synnestvedt Lechner & Woodbridge LLP
PO Box 592
Princeton, NJ 08542
609-924-3773 phone
609-924-1811
June 6, 2007